

Appendix II. Specific Matrices  
**Matrices for Livestock Grazing**

Checklist- Environmental baseline and effects on relevant indicators for: Wilkins Island and Poison Butte Allotment - Daves Island Pasture  
**Subpopulation Watershed:** Jarbidge River **Local Population watershed:** Dave Creek **Habitat:** **Focal**

| Pathways Indicators                                     | Population and Environmental Baseline  |  |                            | Effects of the Action |   |   |    |        |
|---|--|--|----------------------------|-----------------------|---|---|----|--------|
|   | Criteria   | Present Condition  | Functionality (F/FR/FU/UK) | R                     | M | D | HA | P T    |
| Subpopulation Characteristics<br>Local Population Size: | F = Total pop. >2000<br>FR = Total pop. <2000 >1500<br>FU = Total pop <1500  | A number of adult bull trout seen in Dave Creek in Sept 2001. Specific numbers of bull trout were not recorded, but less than 50 were seen.  | FU                         |                       |   |   |    |        |
| Growth and Survival:                                    | F = Adult/young ratio >.1 & <.1 extent habitat 70,000 square m; FR= adult/young ratio <.1or habitat <70,000 sq m; FU = adult/young ratio <.1 and habitat <70,000 sq m, and subpopulation is at very low numbers          | No data  | UK                         |                       |   |   |    |        |
| Life History Diversity/ isolation                       | F=Migratory form is present and subpopulation is close and connected to other spawning/rearing groups; FR=Migratory for is present, but not well connected to other spawning/rearing groups; FU=Migratory form is absent | Migratory, not well connected. On Sept 12, 2002 several bull trout >8 inches in length were observed in Dave Creek. Preliminary genetics indicate there is limited genetic exchange between Dave Creek and other Jarbidge tributaries. | FR                         |                       |   |   |    |        |
| Persistence and genetic integrity                       | Strong populations: >5   | 0 strong populations, Preliminary genetics data indicate 8 genetic subpopulations. Bull trout in Dave Creek are 1 of the 8 subpops.  | FU                         |                       |   |   |    |        |
| Water Quality:<br>Temperature                           | 7 day average max (F<12°C, FR 12-15°C, FU >15°C )  | 7 day ave. high temp. 15.2°C, highest temp. 18.8°C at (T47N R58E Sec 12 SENW) on Dave Creek. Thermal barrier present from July through August in most years.   | FU                         | ✓                     |   |   | N  | Up     |
| Sediment  | F = % fines <20% surface fines ≤6mm or Natural conditions; FR = < natural to 75% of natural conditions; FU = <75% natural cond.  | Dave Creek BLM lands (fines 37%) FS lands (fines 9%)   | FR                         |                       | ✓ |   | Y  | Static |

|  |  |  |    |  |   |  |   |        |
|--|--|--|----|--|---|--|---|--------|
| Chemical Contam./Nutrients:                      | F = Low levels<br>FR = moderate levels<br>UR = High levels   | No known pollutant sources; Fecal coliform bacteria was 12 colonies/l (2002) in Dave Creek, Pasture rested since Nov 2001. No CWA 303d streams. No data on nitrogen  | F  |  | ✓ |  | N | Up     |
| Habitat Access Physical Barriers                 | F = man made barriers present in watershed but allow upstream and downstream fish passage at all flows   | 1 man made barrier present (jeep trail in Dave Creek for 0.2 miles), but fish passage at all flows   | F  |  |   |  |   |        |
| Habitat Elements Substrate Embeddedness (fines): | F=reach embeddedness <20%, FR = embeddedness 20-30%<br>FU = reach embeddedness >30%  | Embeddedness score indicates that the substrate is 50-75% embedded   | FU |  | ✓ |  | Y | Static |
| Large Woody Debris:                              | F = LWD frequency at or exceeds observed natural (or attainable) conditions; FR = <natural but ≥75% natural conditions; FU= ≤75% of natural conditions | Comparable to R1/R4 data; Dave Creek: large woody debris 97.2 pieces/mi, Future large woody debris likely limited because aspen being replaced by Rocky Mountain juniper;  | F  |  |   |  |   |        |
| Pool Frequency & Quality:                        | F = pool frequency 60 pools/mi, good cover, cool water; or at natural conditions. FR < natural but >75%; FU <75% natural conditions                    | Dave Creek: 149.5 pools/mi, LWG (>6" diameter) present in 12 of 17 pools, over hanging vegetation present in 11 of 17 pools, Over hanging vegetation averaged 1.6 m by 1.5 m; Undercut banks were present in 6 of 17 pools. Undercut banks averaged 1.9 m length by 0.6 m in depth | F  |  | ✓ |  | Y | Static |
| Large Pools:                                     | F= natural conditions 60% pools >0.5 m<br>FR <natural but >75%<br>FU < 75% natural cond  | Lower reach 60% of pools had depth of 0.5 m. Upper reach 14% pools had depth of 0.5 m<br>Pools ave. max depth 0.56 m; ave. pool size over 0.3 m deep 2.5 m X 1.2 m;  | FR |  | ✓ |  | Y | Static |
| Off-Channel Habitat                              | F= Many backwaters, side channels, low energy areas; FR=some backwaters, etc., FU=few or no backwaters   | Loss of beaver and subsequent failure of beaver dams (T47N, R58E, Sec. 12 NWSNW) reduced some off-channel habitat in this drainage (B type channel). Backwaters, side channels few. Natural mortality suspected.   | FR |  |   |  |   |        |

|   |  |  |                        |   |   |  |   |    |
|---|--|--|------------------------|---|---|--|---|----|
| Refugia:  | F= Population strong and well established.<br>FR= Population strength and distribution weak and connected to refugia, FU=neither the pop strength and distribution is strong nor is refugia available                                | Dave Creek pop likely less than 500 bull trout. Genetics indicate that it is isolated from other pops in the EF. Jarbidge drainage.  | FU                     |   |   |  |   |    |
| Channel Condition & Dynamics -- Wetted width/max Depth ratio: | F=w/d ratio $\geq$ natural condition; FR= Channel w/d ratio < to 75% of nat. cond.; FU >75% of nat cond.   | R1/R4 data w/d ratios should be 5-9, Dave Creek: W/D ratio is 9.6 in protected areas, 16.4 crossing point near Morgan Draw confluence, W/D ratio 19.1 in area where Dave Creek is in road.   | FR<br>some areas<br>FU |   | ✓ |  | N | Up |
| Streambank condition:   | F= Streambank meets or exceeds natural condition, FR Streambank < nat. cond >75% nat cond.; FU streambank <75% nat. cond.  | R1/R4 data streambanks 85-95 percent stable. 25.6% of the banks were unstable in the reaches surveyed, 70.9% of the banks were vegetated and stable. 3.5% of banks were uncovered, but stable because of boulder/cobble banks.   | FR                     | ✓ |   |  | N | Up |
| Floodplain connectivity:                                      | F=Off channel areas are frequently linked to main channel hydrology, FR=Off channel habitats infrequently linked to main channel; FU= Off Channel habitat not linked.  | Data collected during PFC assessments indicated that the floodplain was flooded in 1-3 year intervals. Off channel habitat limited by topography in some areas.  | F                      |   |   |  |   |    |
| Flow/Hydrology --Change in Peak/Base Flows:                   | F=Comparable to an undisturbed watershed; FR= Some evidence of altered peak flow/base flow and/or flow timing; FU=Pronounced changes in peak flow  | No water is diverted from Dave Creek. One spring on private land at head of Morgan Draw (T47N R59E, Sec 30 SESESW) was developed for livestock water several years ago. Down cut (4-5 ft deep) in Morgan Draw indicates timing (duration) of flow is altered.  | FR                     |   |   |  |   |    |
| Drainage Network Increase (miles)                             | F=Minimal increases in active channel length correlated with human disturbance; FR= Low to moderate increase in active channel length correlated with human disturbance; FU Greater than moderate increase in active channel length. | Existing drainage networks include the livestock trail into Dave Creek and out Morgan Draw (Sec 12), the jeep trail into and out of Dave Creek on private land (Sec 24 & 25). A trail on FS lands [Three Day Creek Trailhead](T46N, R59E Sec 7 SWSW) did not show signs of OHV use in October 2002, however, the jeep trail (T46N, R58E, Sec 24) across Dave Creek to Sawmill Ridge showed evidence of 4x4 trucks and ATV's. | FR                     |   |   |  |   |    |

|   |   |   |    |  |   |  |   |        |
|---|---|---|----|--|---|--|---|--------|
| Watershed Conditions (RHCA road density):             | F < 1 mi/square mile, no valley bottom roads; FR =1 -2.4 miles/square mile few valley bottom roads, FU >2.4 miles/square mile   | Total road miles in watershed =13.33 miles; Watershed size = 12.1 square miles, Road density = 1.1 roads/square mile Valley bottom roads = 0.25 miles. OHV use has been noted crossing Dave Creek (T47N,R58E, Sec. 12 NWSNW); OHV and 4x4 truck crossing Dave Creek at (T47N, R58E, Sec 24 SESW) and scattered in uplands; OHV and 4x4 truck use crossing the Dave Creek headwaters (T46N, R58E, Sec 24 NENE) | FR |  |   |  |   |        |
| Disturbance History (Equivalent Clearcut Area ECA %): | F= <15% ECA of entire watershed with <u>no concentration</u> of disturbance in unstable or potentially unstable areas, refugia, and/or riparian area ; FR=<15% ECA of entire watershed but disturbance concentrated in unstable areas, refugia, or riparian area, FU= >15% ECA of entire watershed and disturbance concentrated in refugia and/or riparian area   | ECA <15%, areas of disturbance noted in refugia and riparian area. Small area on private land (T47N, R58E, Sec 24 SESESW) used by hunters for camping. Livestock use on BLM land left a 2.5 inch stubble height (November 2001) at T47N,R58E, Sec 12 NWSNW and use appeared higher on private land. In mid-October. Impacts in riparian area  | FR |  |   |  |   |        |
| Riparian Conservation Areas (LWD/Shade)               | F=Provides adequate shade, LWD recruitment, habitat protection and connectivity in subwatersheds, refugia >80% intact, adequately buffer impacts on rangelands; FR=Moderate loss of connectivity, incomplete protection of habitats, refugia 70-80% intact and adequately buffer impacts on rangelands; FU= RCA are fragmented, poorly connected, and provide inadequate protection of habitat for sensitive aquatic animals, (<70% intact, refugia does not occur, percent similarity to potential natural community/composition is <25% | Large woody debris is adequate now but future recruitment will be reduced as Rocky Mountain juniper replaces aspen, willows, dogwood, and alder. Riparian herbaceous species would also be reduced. Refugia less than 70% intact and does not buffer impacts on rangelands. Livestock much more limited on BLM lands due to topography. Grazing use in uplands near 40%, higher (60%) in Morgan Draw.         | FU |  | ✓ |  | N | Static |

|  |  |  |    |  |   |  |  |        |
|--|--|--|----|--|---|--|--|--------|
| Disturbance regime (hazard/risk rating):       | F=Natural processes are stable; FR=Events are localized that occur in several minor parts of the watershed, Resiliency of habitat to recover from environmental disturbance is moderate. FU= Frequent floods and drought produce highly variable and unpredictable flows, channel is simplified providing little hydrologic complexity. Natural processes are unstable.  | Events occur in minor parts of the watershed<br>Down cuts and rills are evident on livestock trails into Dave Creek and Morgan Draw  |    |  |   |  |  |        |
| Integration of Species and Habitat Conditions: | F=Habitat quality and connectivity among subpopulations are high. Migratory form is present. Disturbance has not altered channel equilibrium. Fine sediments and other habitat characteristics influencing survival or growth is consistent with pristine habitat. Population is fluctuating around equilibrium or growing. FR=Fine sediments, stream temperatures, or availability of suitable habitats have been altered and will not recover to predisturbance conditions within 5 years. Subpopulation is reduced in size, but reduction does not represent a long-term trend. Connectivity occurs but habitats are more fragmented. FU= Cumulative disruption of habitat has resulted in a clear declining trend in subpopulation size. Under current management, habitat conditions will not improve within 2 generations (10years). Little or no connectivity remains among subpopulations. | Deep pools (>.5 m deep) in the upper portion of Dave Creek on BLM lands account for only 14% of the pools. Instream fines and water temperature are limiting bull trout habitat, distribution and population in Dave Creek subwatershed. Based upon data collected on FS lands fines should be <10%. Habitat and temperatures will not recover to predisturbance conditions within 2 generations with current management. Some connectivity remains. | FU |  | ✓ |  |  | Static |

**Status:** Functioning Appropriately - F      Functioning at Risk - FR      Functioning at Unacceptable Risk - FU      Unknown - UK  
**Effect:** R - Restore: the action will result in a positive change in the indicator evaluated, M - Maintain: the action will have no effect on the status of the indicator evaluated; D - Degrade: the action will result in a negative change in the indicator evaluated; HA - Hinder Attainment, PT - Perceived trend if action is adopted; NA - Not applicable.  
**PJ:** Professional Judgement

**Proposed Actions for Livestock Grazing on Diamond “A” Pastures IV and VIII Deer Creek Watershed.**

Checklist- Environmental baseline and effects on relevant indicators for: Diamond “A”

**Subpopulation Watershed:** Jarbidge River **Local Population watershed:** Deer Creek **Habitat:** **Focal**

| Pathways Indicators                                     | Population and Environmental Baseline  |  |                            | Effects of the Action |   |   |    |        |
|---|--|--|----------------------------|-----------------------|---|---|----|--------|
|   | Criteria   | Present Condition  | Functionality (F/FR/FU/UK) | R                     | M | D | HA | P T    |
| Subpopulation Characteristics<br>Local Population Size: | F = Total pop. >2000<br>FR = Total pop. <2000 >1500<br>FU = Total pop <1500  | A single bull trout was documented in Deer Creek by Nevada Division of Wildlife. Surveys by BLM and FWS in 1995 did not document bull trout, although redband trout were present | FU                         |                       |   |   |    |        |
| Growth and Survival:                                    | F = Adult/young ratio >.1 & <.1 extent habitat 70,000 square m; FR= adult/young ratio <.1or habitat <70,000 sq m; FU = adult/young ratio <.1 and habitat <70,000 sq m, and subpopulation is at very low numbers          | No data  | UK                         |                       |   |   |    |        |
| Life History Diversity/ isolation                       | F=Migratory form is present and subpopulation is close and connected to other spawning/rearing groups; FR=Migratory for is present, but not well connected to other spawning/rearing groups; FU=Migratory form is absent | No data for Deer Creek.  | FR                         |                       |   |   |    |        |
| Persistence and genetic integrity                       | Strong populations: >5   | 0 strong populations, Preliminary genetics data indicate 8 genetic subpopulations. No genetics work has been done in Deer Creek.   | FU                         |                       |   |   |    |        |
| Water Quality:<br>Temperature                           | 7 day average max (F<12 <sup>0</sup> C, FR 12-15 <sup>0</sup> C, FU >15 <sup>0</sup> C)  | No data.   | FU                         |                       |   |   | N  | Up     |
| Sediment  | F = % fines <20% surface fines ≤6mm or Natural conditions; FR = < natural to 75% of natural conditions; FU = <75% natural cond.  | No data  | FR                         |                       |   |   | Y  | Static |

|   |   |  |                        |  |   |  |   |        |
|---|---|--|------------------------|--|---|--|---|--------|
| Chemical Contam./Nutrients:                                   | F = Low levels<br>FR = moderate levels<br>UR = High levels  | No known pollutant sources; No data on bacteria levels, suspended solids, total dissolved solids or nitrogen | F                      |  | ✓ |  | N | Up     |
| Habitat Access Physical Barriers                              | F = man made barriers present in watershed but allow upstream and downstream fish passage at all flows  | No man made barrier present on BLM or downstream   | F                      |  |   |  |   |        |
| Habitat Elements Substrate Embeddedness (fines):              | F=reach embeddedness <20%, FR = embeddedness 20-30%<br>FU = reach embeddedness >30%   | No Data  | FU                     |  | ✓ |  | Y | Static |
| Large Woody Debris:   | F = LWD frequency at or exceeds observed natural (or attainable) conditions; FR = <natural but ≥75% natural conditions; FU= ≤75% of natural conditions  | No Data; Aspen, willows, subalpine fire, and some juniper present in riparian zone.                          | F                      |  |   |  |   |        |
| Pool Frequency & Quality:                                     | F = pool frequency 60 pools/mi, good cover, cool water; or at natural conditions. FR < natural but >75%; FU <75% natural conditions   | 141.6 pools/mile (Zoellick et al 1996). Pool quality data not collected.                                     | F                      |  | ✓ |  | Y | Static |
| Large Pools:  | F= natural conditions 60% pools >0.5 m<br>FR <natural but >75%<br>FU < 75% natural cond   | Pools ave. max depth 0.5 m (Zoellick et al. 1996);   | FR                     |  | ✓ |  | Y | Static |
| Off-Channel Habitat   | F= Many backwaters, side channels, low energy areas; FR=some backwaters, etc., FU=few or no backwaters  | Backwaters, side channels few due to topography. No beaver dams noted during riparian assessment.            | FR                     |  |   |  |   |        |
| Refugia:  | F= Population strong and well established.<br>FR= Population strength and distribution weak and connected to refugia, FU=neither the pop strength and distribution is strong nor is refugia available | No data.   | FU                     |  |   |  |   |        |
| Channel Condition & Dynamics -- Wetted width/max Depth ratio: | F=w/d ratio ≥ natural condition; FR= Channel w/d ratio < to 75% of nat. cond.; FU >75% of nat cond.   | R1/R4 data w/d ratios should be 5-9, Deer Creek: W/D ratio is 5.9 to 14.5 (Zoellick et al. 1996).            | FR<br>some areas<br>FU |  | ✓ |  | N | Up     |

|   |   |  |    |   |  |  |   |    |
|---|---|--|----|---|--|--|---|----|
| Streambank condition:                                 | F= Streambank meets or exceeds natural condition, FR Streambank < nat. cond >75% nat cond.; FU streambank <75% nat. cond.   | R1/R4 data streambanks 85-95 percent stable.<br>No data  | FR | ✓ |  |  | N | Up |
| Floodplain connectivity:                              | F=Off channel areas are frequently linked to main channel hydrology, FR=Off channel habitats infrequently linked to main channel; FU= Off Channel habitat not linked.   | PFC assessments indicated that the floodplain was flooded in 1-3 year intervals. Off channel habitat limited by topography in most areas.                    | F  |   |  |  |   |    |
| Flow/Hydrology --Change in Peak/Base Flows:           | F=Comparable to an undisturbed watershed; FR= Some evidence of altered peak flow/base flow and/or flow timing; FU=Pronounced changes in peak flow   | No water is diverted from Deer Creek on BLM land or downstream.  | FR |   |  |  |   |    |
| Drainage Network Increase (miles)                     | F=Minimal increases in active channel length correlated with human disturbance; FR= Low to moderate increase in active channel length correlated with human disturbance; FU Greater than moderate increase in active channel length.  | Existing drainage networks include a number of jeep trails on F.S. Lands.  | FR |   |  |  |   |    |
| Watershed Conditions (RHCA road density):             | F < 1 mi/square mile, no valley bottom roads; FR =1 -2.4 miles/square mile few valley bottom roads, FU >2.4 miles/square mile   | About 1.2 miles of road/square mile. One road crosses valley bottom. No roads on valley bottom on BLM lands. Most jeep trails in upper portion of watershed. | FR |   |  |  |   |    |
| Disturbance History (Equivalent Clearcut Area ECA %): | F= <15% ECA of entire watershed with <u>no concentration</u> of disturbance in unstable or potentially unstable areas, refugia, and/or riparian area ; FR=<15% ECA of entire watershed but disturbance concentrated in unstable areas, refugia, or riparian area, FU= >15% ECA of entire watershed and disturbance concentrated in refugia and/or riparian area | Site visits have not documented any disturbances in the floodplain on BLM lands.   | FR |   |  |  |   |    |

|  |   |  |    |  |   |  |   |        |
|--|---|--|----|--|---|--|---|--------|
| Riparian Conservation Areas (LWD/Shade)  | F=Provides adequate shade, LWD recruitment, habitat protection and connectivity in subwatersheds, refugia >80% intact, adequately buffer impacts on rangelands; FR=Moderate loss of connectivity, incomplete protection of habitats, refugia 70-80% intact and adequately buffer impacts on rangelands; FU= RCA are fragmented, poorly connected, and provide inadequate protection of habitat for sensitive aquatic animals, (<70% intact, refugia does not occur, percent similarity to potential natural community/composition is <25% | No data. PJ=Trees (aspen etc.) in Deer Creek floodplain likely provide adequate source for large woody debris. | FU |  | ✓ |  | N | Static |
| Disturbance regime (hazard/risk rating): | F=Natural processes are stable; FR=Events are localized that occur in several minor parts of the watershed, Resiliency of habitat to recover from environmental disturbance is moderate. FU= Frequent floods and drought produce highly variable and unpredictable flows, channel is simplified providing little hydrologic complexity. Natural processes are unstable.   | Site visits (3) have documented none to slight livestock use. Only evidence of livestock was few cow pats.     |    |  |   |  |   |        |

|   |  |   |           |  |          |  |  |               |
|---|--|---|-----------|--|----------|--|--|---------------|
| <p>Integration of Species and Habitat Conditions:</p> | <p>F=Habitat quality and connectivity among subpopulations are high. Migratory form is present. Disturbance has not altered channel equilibrium. Fine sediments and other habitat characteristics influencing survival or growth is consistent with pristine habitat. Population is fluctuating around equilibrium or growing. FR=Fine sediments, stream temperatures, or availability of suitable habitats have been altered and will not recover to predisturbance conditions within 5 years. Subpopulation is reduced in size, but reduction does not represent a long-term trend. Connectivity occurs but habitats are more fragmented. FU=Cumulative disruption of habitat has resulted in a clear declining trend in subpopulation size. Under current management, habitat conditions will not improve within 2 generations (10years). Little or no connectivity remains among subpopulations.</p> | <p>Water temperature in West Fork Jarbidge River is elevated during the summer. Jack Creek about 1.6 miles upstream supports a small population of bull trout. Few fluvial bull trout have been documented downstream about 7.8 miles. Overall population in West Fork is likely less than 2000 bull trout.</p> | <p>FU</p> |  | <p>✓</p> |  |  | <p>Static</p> |
|---|--|---|-----------|--|----------|--|--|---------------|

**Status:** Functioning Appropriately - F      Functioning at Risk - FR      Functioning at Unacceptable Risk - FU      Unknown - UK  
**Effect:** R - Restore: the action will result in a positive change in the indicator evaluated, M - Maintain: the action will have no effect on the status of the indicator evaluated; D - Degrade: the action will result in a negative change in the indicator evaluated; HA - Hinder Attainment, PT - Perceived trend if action is adopted; NA - Not applicable.  
**PJ:** Professional Judgement

Checklist- Environmental baseline and effects on relevant indicators for: Diamond A Allotment

**Subpopulation Watershed:** Jarbidge River **Local Population watershed:** Buck Creek **Habitat:** **Adjunct**

| Pathways Indicators                                     | Population and Environmental Baseline  |   |                            | Effects of the Action |   |   |    |        |
|---|--|---|----------------------------|-----------------------|---|---|----|--------|
|   | Criteria   | Present Condition   | Functionality (F/FR/FU/UK) | R                     | M | D | HA | P T    |
| Subpopulation Characteristics<br>Local Population Size: | F = Total pop. >2000<br>FR = Total pop. <2000 >1500<br>FU = Total pop <1500  | No bull trout have been documented in Buck Creek. Zoellick et al. 1996 documented a bull trout just below the confluence of Buck Creek in 1994. | FU                         |                       |   |   |    |        |
| Growth and Survival:                                    | F = Adult/young ratio >.1 & <.1 extent habitat 70,000 square m; FR= adult/young ratio <.1or habitat <70,000 sq m; FU = adult/young ratio <.1 and habitat <70,000 sq m, and subpopulation is at very low numbers          | No data   | UK                         |                       |   |   |    |        |
| Life History Diversity/ isolation                       | F=Migratory form is present and subpopulation is close and connected to other spawning/rearing groups; FR=Migratory for is present, but not well connected to other spawning/rearing groups; FU=Migratory form is absent | No data   | UK                         |                       |   |   |    |        |
| Persistence and genetic integrity                       | Strong populations: >5   | 0 Strong populations  | UK                         |                       |   |   |    |        |
| Water Quality:<br>Temperature                           | 7 day average max (F<12°C, FR 12-15°C, FU >15°C)   | 7 day average max temps > 17°C in all years sampled since 1997.   | FU                         | ✓                     |   |   | N  | Up     |
| Sediment  | F = % fines <20% surface fines ≤6mm or Natural conditions; FR = < natural to 75% of natural conditions; FU = <75% natural cond.  | Fines 25%   | FR                         | ✓                     | ✓ |   | Y  | Static |
| Chemical Contam./Nutrients:                             | F = Low levels<br>FR = moderate levels<br>UR = High levels   | No known pollutant sources. Total Dissolved solids 0.156 g/l is 8 times the value found in Dave or Jack Creeks. Elevated bacteria levels        | FR                         |                       | ✓ |   | N  | Up     |

|  |  |  |    |   |   |  |   |        |
|--|--|--|----|---|---|--|---|--------|
| Habitat Access Physical Barriers                 | F = man made barriers present in watershed but allow upstream and downstream fish passage at all flows   | Area where Buck Creek passes through a corral on private land may function as a barrier particularly during low flows.   | FR |   |   |  |   |        |
| Habitat Elements Substrate Embeddedness (fines): | F=reach embeddedness <20%, FR = embeddedness 20-30%<br>FU = reach embeddedness >30%  | Embeddedness score indicates that the substrate is 50-75% embedded   | FU | ✓ | ✓ |  | Y | Static |
| Large Woody Debris:                              | F = LWD frequency at or exceeds observed natural (or attainable) conditions; FR = <natural but ≥75% natural conditions; FU= ≤75% of natural conditions   | Low to R1/R4 data and Dave Creek: large woody debris 15.3 pieces/mi, Future large woody debris likely limited because aspen has been replaced by Rocky Mountain juniper;   | FU |   |   |  |   |        |
| Pool Frequency & Quality:                        | F = pool frequency 60 pools/mi, good cover, cool water, or at natural conditions. FR < natural but >75%; FU <75% natural conditions  | Buck Creek: 170.2 pools/mi, LWG (>6" diameter) present in 1 of 11 pools, over hanging vegetation present in 8 of 11 pools, Over hanging vegetation averaged 1.6 m by 1.5 m; Undercut banks were present in 6 of 11 pools. Undercut banks averaged 1.2 m length by 0.6 m in depth. Water too warm in summer (July and August. | F  |   | ✓ |  | Y | Static |
| Large Pools:                                     | F= natural conditions 60% pools >0.5 m<br>FR <natural but >75%<br>FU < 75% natural cond  | 73% (8 of 11) pools had depths of at least 0.5 m, large pool size averaged 2.9 x 1.8 m.  | F  |   | ✓ |  | Y | Static |
| Off-Channel Habitat                              | F= Many backwaters, side channels, low energy areas; FR=some backwaters, etc., FU=few or no backwaters   | Beaver are active in the portion of Buck Creek immediately down stream of private land. Otherwise backwaters, side channels are few. Limited in some areas by topography and by road in other locations.   | FR |   |   |  |   |        |
| Refugia:   | F= Population strong and well established. FR= Population strength and distribution weak and connected to refugia, FU=neither the pop strength and distribution is strong nor is refugia available | <b>No data.</b> PJ= bull trout in the West Fork Jarbidge likely < 2000.  | FU |   |   |  |   |        |

|   |   |  |    |   |   |  |   |    |
|---|---|--|----|---|---|--|---|----|
| Channel Condition & Dynamics -- Wetted width/max Depth ratio: | F=w/d ratio $\geq$ natural condition; FR= Channel w/d ratio < to 75% of nat. cond.; FU >75% of nat cond.  | R1/R4 data w/d ratios should be 5-9,Buck Creek w/d ratio 11.8.   | FR |   | ✓ |  | N | Up |
| Streambank condition:   | F= Streambank meets or exceeds natural condition, FR Streambank < nat. cond >75% nat cond.; FU streambank <75% nat. cond.   | R1/R4 data streambanks 85-95 percent stable. Buck Creek streambanks were 100% covered stable.  | F  | ✓ |   |  | N | Up |
| Floodplain connectivity:                                      | F=Off channel areas are frequently linked to main channel hydrology, FR=Off channel habitats infrequently linked to main channel; FU= Off Channel habitat not linked.   | Data collected during PFC assessments indicated that the floodplain was flooded in 1-3 year intervals. Off channel habitat limited by topography in some areas.  | F  |   |   |  |   |    |
| Flow/Hydrology --Change in Peak/Base Flows:                   | F=Comparable to an undisturbed watershed; FR= Some evidence of altered peak flow/base flow and/or flow timing; FU=Pronounced changes in peak flow   | No data.   | FR |   |   |  |   |    |
| Drainage Network Increase (miles)                             | F=Minimal increases in active channel length correlated with human disturbance; FR= Low to moderate increas in active channel length correlated with human disturbance; FU Greater than moderate increase in active channel length.   | No data  | FR |   |   |  |   |    |
| Watershed Conditions (RHCA road density):                     | F < 1 mi/square mile, no valley bottom roads; FR =1 -2.4 miles/square mile few valley bottom roads, FU >2.4 miles/square mile   | Road density approx 2.1 miles/mile square. A number of roads in valley bottoms along portions of Buck, Cow and Sanovia Creeks These roads are upstream of BLM lands.   | FR |   |   |  |   |    |
| Disturbance History (Equivalent Clearcut Area ECA %):         | F= <15% ECA of entire watershed with <u>no concentration</u> of disturbance in unstable or potentially unstable areas, refugia, and/or riparian area ; FR=<15% ECA of entire watershed but disturbance concentrated in unstable areas, refugia, or riparian area, FU= >15% ECA of entire watershed and disturbance concentrated in refugia and/or riparian area | ECA <15%, areas of disturbance noted in refugia and riparian area. Small area on private land (T47N, R58E, Sec 24 SESESW) used by hunters for camping. Livestock use on BLM land left a 2.5 inch stubble height (November 2001) at T47N,R58E, Sec 12 NWSSENW and use appeared higher on private land. In mid-October. Impacts in riparian area | FR |   |   |  |   |    |

|  |   |  |    |  |   |  |   |        |
|--|---|--|----|--|---|--|---|--------|
| Riparian Conservation Areas (LWD/Shade)  | F=Provides adequate shade, LWD recruitment, habitat protection and connectivity in subwatersheds, refugia >80% intact, adequately buffer impacts on rangelands; FR=Moderate loss of connectivity, incomplete protection of habitats, refugia 70-80% intact and adequately buffer impacts on rangelands; FU= RCA are fragmented, poorly connected, and provide inadequate protection of habitat for sensitive aquatic animals, (<70% intact, refugia does not occur, percent similarity to potential natural community/composition is <25% | Large woody debris is not adequate now. Rocky Mountain juniper provides shade, but has for the most part replaced aspen, willows, dogwood, and alder. Riparian herbaceous species have been reduced. Livestock much very limited on BLM lands due to topography. No evidence of livestock grazing. | FU |  | ✓ |  | N | Static |
| Disturbance regime (hazard/risk rating): | F=Natural processes are stable; FR=Events are localized that occur in several minor parts of the watershed, Resiliency of habitat to recover from environmental disturbance is moderate. FU= Frequent floods and drought produce highly variable and unpredictable flows, channel is simplified providing little hydrologic complexity. Natural processes are unstable.   | Sediment from road paralleling Buck Creek and impact from private land have affected embeddedness and w/d ratio.   |    |  |   |  |   |        |

|   |  |   |           |  |          |  |  |               |
|---|--|---|-----------|--|----------|--|--|---------------|
| <p>Integration of Species and Habitat Conditions:</p> | <p>F=Habitat quality and connectivity among subpopulations are high. Migratory form is present. Disturbance has not altered channel equilibrium. Fine sediments and other habitat characteristics influencing survival or growth is consistent with pristine habitat. Population is fluctuating around equilibrium or growing. FR=Fine sediments, stream temperatures, or availability of suitable habitats have been altered and will not recover to predisturbance conditions within 5 years. Subpopulation is reduced in size, but reduction does not represent a long-term trend. Connectivity occurs but habitats are more fragmented. FU=Cumulative disruption of habitat has resulted in a clear declining trend in subpopulation size. Under current management, habitat conditions will not improve within 2 generations (10years). Little or no connectivity remains among subpopulations.</p> | <p>Bull trout have not been found in Buck Creek. Fluvial form has been observed down stream of confluence in the West Fork Jarbidge River. Water temperatures (on BLM lands) are too warm during the summer months to support bull trout. Management of the watershed upstream has influenced embeddedness.</p> | <p>FU</p> |  | <p>✓</p> |  |  | <p>Static</p> |
|---|--|---|-----------|--|----------|--|--|---------------|

**Status:** Functioning Appropriately - F      Functioning at Risk - FR      Functioning at Unacceptable Risk - FU      Unknown - UK  
**Effect:** R - Restore: the action will result in a positive change in the indicator evaluated, M - Maintain: the action will have no effect on the status of the indicator evaluated; D - Degrade: the action will result in a negative change in the indicator evaluated; HA - Hinder Attainment, PT - Perceived trend if action is adopted; NA - Not applicable.  
**PJ:** Professional Judgement

Checklist- Environmental baseline and effects on relevant indicators for: Diamond “A” and Wilkins Island Allotments  
**Subpopulation Watershed:** Jarbidge River **Local Population watershed:** West Fork Jarbidge River (excluding Deer & Buck Creeks) **Habitat:**  
**Nodal**

| Pathways Indicators                                     | Population and Environmental Baseline  |  |                            | Effects of the Action |   |   |    |     |
|---|--|--|----------------------------|-----------------------|---|---|----|-----|
|   | Criteria   | Present Condition  | Functionality (F/FR/FU/UK) | R                     | M | D | HA | P T |
| Subpopulation Characteristics<br>Local Population Size: | F = Total pop. >2000<br>FR = Total pop. <2000 >1500<br>FU = Total pop <1500  | Available data suggest that there are less than 1,500 bull trout in the headwaters streams of the West Fork Jarbidge River.  | FU                         |                       |   |   |    |     |
| Growth and Survival:                                    | F = Adult/young ratio >.1 & <.1 extent habitat 70,000 square m; FR= adult/young ratio <.1 or habitat <70,000 sq m; FU = adult/young ratio <.1 and habitat <70,000 sq m, and subpopulation is at very low numbers         | No data  | UK                         |                       |   |   |    |     |
| Life History Diversity/ isolation                       | F=Migratory form is present and subpopulation is close and connected to other spawning/rearing groups; FR=Migratory for is present, but not well connected to other spawning/rearing groups; FU=Migratory form is absent | PJ. Fluvial bull trout have been observed in the lower reaches of West Fork of the Jarbidge, Jack Creek, Deer Creek and some locations upstream of Jarbidge, NV. Spawning streams are likely headwater streams | FR                         |                       |   |   |    |     |
| Persistence and genetic integrity                       | Strong populations: >5   | 0 strong populations, Preliminary genetics data indicate 8 genetic subpopulations. Populations in West Fork may not readily move to East Fork Jarbidge and tribs.  | FU                         |                       |   |   |    |     |

|  |  |   |    |  |   |  |   |        |
|--|--|---|----|--|---|--|---|--------|
| Water Quality:<br>Temperature                    | 7 day average max (F<12°C, FR 12-15°C, FU >15°C)   | 7 day ave. max temp. 19.3°C or greater from July through August since 1998. Highest temp 25.2°C. near mouth of Rattlesnake Creek (about 2.5 miles north of the Idaho/Nevada state line). Water temperatures for the lower portion of the West Fork of the Jarbidge River exceed temperatures for bull trout from mid-June into September and state standard for cold water biota 20°C. Jack Creek ( a tributary about 6 miles into Nevada has colder water. 7 day ave. max temps vary from 15.3 to 17.1°C. Daily average temps are cooler <15°C. Temperatures were 2 degrees warmer during a drought year 2001. | FU |  | ✓ |  | N | Static |
| Sediment   | F = % fines <20% surface fines ≤6mm or Natural conditions; FR = < natural to 75% of natural conditions; FU = <75% natural conditions.                  | R1/R4 stream data indicate instream fines should 15% or less for similar size stream. <b>No data</b>  | UK |  | ✓ |  | Y | Down   |
| Chemical Contam./Nutrients:                      | F = Low levels<br>FR = moderate levels<br>UR = High levels   | No known pollutant sources; <b>No data</b>  | UK |  | ✓ |  | Y | Down   |
| Habitat Access Physical Barriers                 | F = man made barriers present in watershed but allow upstream and downstream fish passage at all flows   | 1 bridges on BLM lands, fish passage at all flows   | F  |  |   |  |   |        |
| Habitat Elements Substrate Embeddedness (fines): | F=reach embeddedness <20%, FR = embeddedness 20-30%<br>FU = reach embeddedness >30%  | <b>No data</b>  | UK |  | ✓ |  | Y | Static |
| Large Woody Debris:                              | F = LWD frequency at or exceeds observed natural (or attainable) conditions; FR = <natural but ≥75% natural conditions; FU= ≤75% of natural conditions | R1/R4 data 48 pieces/mi; <b>No data</b>   | UK |  |   |  |   |        |

|   |  |  |    |   |   |  |   |        |
|---|--|--|----|---|---|--|---|--------|
| Pool Frequency & Quality:                                     | F = pool frequency 60 pools/mi, good cover, cool water; or at natural conditions. FR < natural but >75%; FU <75% natural conditions  | No data  | UK | ✓ |   |  | Y | Up     |
| Large Pools:  | F= natural conditions 60% pools >0.5 m<br>FR <natural but >75%<br>FU < 75% natural condition   | No data  | UK | ✓ |   |  | Y | Up     |
| Off-Channel Habitat   | F= Many backwaters, side channels, low energy areas; FR=some backwaters, etc., FU=few or no backwaters   | Between F.S. Boundary down to Jarbidge Forks, few back waters  | FU |   |   |  |   |        |
| Refugia:  | F= Population strong and well established. FR= Population strength and distribution weak and connected to refugia, FU=neither the pop strength and distribution is strong nor is refugia available | West Fork Jarbidge: refugia are located in portions of Jack, Pine, and upper portion of West Fork Jarbidge River in this drainage. Following spawning fluvial bull trout likely are present through the late spring in the West Fork Jarbidge River. Deep pools (> 1 m) in the West Fork Jarbidge provide over winter habitat. | FR |   |   |  |   |        |
| Channel Condition & Dynamics -- Wetted width/max Depth ratio: | F=w/d ratio ≥ natural condition; FR= Channel w/d ratio < to 75% of nat. cond.; FU >75% of nat cond.  | R1/R4 data w/d ratios should be 9-21, West Fork Jarbidge River: W/D ratio is 34 to 40. This may reflect the lack of flood plain on one bank due to the road. It may also reflect the impact of the rain on snow event in the mid 1990's.   | FU | ✓ |   |  | N | Static |
| Streambank condition:   | F= Streambank meets or exceeds natural condition, FR Streambank < nat. cond >75% nat cond.; FU streambank <75% nat. cond.  | R1/R4 banks 85-90% stable. No data   | UK |   | ✓ |  | N | Static |
| Floodplain connectivity:                                      | F=Off channel areas are frequently linked to main channel hydrology, FR=Off channel habitats infrequently linked to main channel; FU= Off Channel habitat not linked.                              | Data collected during PFC assessments indicated that the floodplain was flooded in 1-3 year intervals. The road built in the floodplain forces the water to the other bank.  | F  |   |   |  |   |        |

|   |   |  |    |   |  |  |   |    |
|---|---|--|----|---|--|--|---|----|
| Flow/Hydrology --Change in Peak/Base Flows:           | F=Comparable to an undisturbed watershed; FR= Some evidence of altered peak flow/base flow and/or flow timing; FU=Pronounced changes in peak flow   | Unknown. Water is removed from Bear Creek drainage to provide Jarbidge with drinking water. Impact of this diversion is not known on W F Jarbidge water base flows. Some water is diverted from Sanovia Creek for irrigation.          | UK |   |  |  |   |    |
| Drainage Network Increase (miles)                     | F=Minimal increases in active channel length correlated with human disturbance; FR= Low to moderate increase in active channel length correlated with human disturbance; FU > than moderate increase in active channel length.  | No data  | UK |   |  |  |   |    |
| Watershed Conditions (RHCA road density):             | F < 1 mi/square mile, no valley bottom roads; FR =1 -2.4 miles/square mile few valley bottom roads, FU >2.4 miles/square mile   | Approx. 1.42 miles road/square mile. A valley bottom road runs from Jarbidge Forks upstream past Jarbidge, NV >16 miles.   | FR |   |  |  |   |    |
| Disturbance History (Equivalent Clearcut Area ECA %): | F= <15% ECA of entire watershed with <u>no concentration</u> of disturbance in unstable or potentially unstable areas, refugia, and/or riparian area ; FR=<15% ECA of entire watershed but disturbance concentrated in unstable areas, refugia, or riparian area, FU >15% ECA of entire watershed, disturbance concentrated in refugia and/or riparian area   | ECA <15%, small areas of disturbance noted in riparian area. The Jarbidge Road is the major disturbance in this area.  | FR |   |  |  |   |    |
| Riparian Conservation Areas (LWD/Shade)               | F=Provides adequate shade, LWD recruitment, habitat protection, connectivity in subwatersheds, refugia >80% intact, adequately buffer impacts on rangelands; FR=Moderate loss of connectivity, incomplete protection of habitats, refugia 70-80% intact, adequately buffer impacts on rangelands; FU= RCA are fragmented, poorly connected, provide inadequate protection of habitat for aquatic animals, (<70% intact, refugia does not occur, % similarity to potential natural community/composition is <25% | West Fork of Jarbidge on BLM was rated as Function at risk. During the summer a thermal barrier restricts movements. The east bank of the floodplain has been impacted by the Jarbidge Road reducing the recruitment of LWD and shade. | FR | ✓ |  |  | N | Up |

|  |   |  |    |  |  |  |  |  |
|--|---|--|----|--|--|--|--|--|
| Disturbance regime (hazard/risk rating): | F=Natural processes are stable; FR=Events are localized that occur in several minor parts of the watershed, Resiliency of habitat to recover from environmental disturbance is moderate. FU= Frequent floods and drought produce highly variable and unpredictable flows, channel is simplified providing little hydrologic complexity. Natural processes are unstable. | Rilling is present on roads and road shoulders down the W.F. Jarbidge. | FR |  |  |  |  |  |
|--|---|--|----|--|--|--|--|--|

|   |   |  |           |          |  |  |          |           |
|---|---|--|-----------|----------|--|--|----------|-----------|
| <p>Integration of Species and Habitat Conditions:</p> | <p>F=Habitat quality and connectivity among subpopulations are high. Migratory form is present. Disturbance has not altered channel equilibrium. Fine sediments and other habitat characteristics influencing survival or growth is consistent with pristine habitat. Subpopulation would recover in 1 - 2 generations (5-10 years). Population is fluctuating around equilibrium or growing. FR=Fine sediments, stream temperatures, or availability of suitable habitats have been altered and will not recover to predisturbance conditions within 5 years. Subpopulation is reduced in size, but reduction does not represent a long-term trend. Subpopulation is stable or fluctuating around a downward trend. Connectivity occurs but habitats are more fragmented. FU= Cumulative disruption of habitat has resulted in a clear declining trend in subpopulation size. Under current management, habitat conditions will not improve within 2 generations (10years). Little or no connectivity remains among subpopulations. Subpopulation responds sharply to normal environmental events.</p> | <p>The migratory form is present. To date there is no information showing movements of fluvial bull trout between populations in the E F Jarbidge and W.F. Jarbidge watersheds. Water temperatures in the summer (late June to early September) exceed those needed for bull trout rearing near the mouth of Rattlesnake Draw, but headwater streams are cooler. A number of old cottonwood are still present, but young trees have not developed in the understory. Increasing Rocky Mountain junipers lack the height and provide less channel shading. Habitat and temperatures will not recover to predisturbance conditions within 2 generations with current management.</p> | <p>FR</p> | <p>✓</p> |  |  | <p>N</p> | <p>Up</p> |
|---|---|--|-----------|----------|--|--|----------|-----------|

**Status:** Functioning Appropriately - F      Functioning at Risk - FR      Functioning at Unacceptable Risk - FU      Unknown - UK

**Effect:** R - Restore: the action will result in a positive change in the indicator evaluated, M - Maintain: the action will have no effect on the status of the indicator evaluated; D - Degrade: the action will result in a negative change in the indicator evaluated; HA - Hinder Attainment, PT - Perceived trend if action is adopted; NA - Not applicable.

**PJ:** Professional Judgement

Checklist- Environmental baseline and effects on relevant indicators for: Poison Butte Allotment, West Nevada Strip and West Halogeton Pastures  
**Subpopulation Watershed:** Jarbidge River **Local Population watershed:** East Fork Jarbidge River (excluding Dave Creek) **Habitat:** Nodal

| Pathways Indicators                                     | Population and Environmental Baseline  |  |                            | Effects of the Action |   |   |    |        |
|---|--|--|----------------------------|-----------------------|---|---|----|--------|
|   | Criteria   | Present Condition  | Functionality (F/FR/FU/UK) | R                     | M | D | HA | P T    |
| Subpopulation Characteristics<br>Local Population Size: | F = Total pop. >2000<br>FR = Total pop. <2000 >1500<br>FU = Total pop <1500  | Available data suggest that there are less than 1,500 bull trout in the headwaters streams of the East Fork Jarbidge River.  | FU                         |                       |   |   |    |        |
| Growth and Survival:                                    | F = Adult/young ratio >.1 & <.1 extent habitat 70,000 square m; FR= adult/young ratio <.1or habitat <70,000 sq m; FU = adult/young ratio <.1 and habitat <70,000 sq m, and subpopulation is at very low numbers          | No data  | UK                         |                       |   |   |    |        |
| Life History Diversity/ isolation                       | F=Migratory form is present and subpopulation is close and connected to other spawning/rearing groups; FR=Migratory for is present, but not well connected to other spawning/rearing groups; FU=Migratory form is absent | PJ. Fluvial bull trout have been observed in the lower reaches of East Fork of the Jarbidge, below Murphy Hot Springs. In October 11, 2001, no evidence of spawning was noted.   | FR                         |                       |   |   |    |        |
| Persistence and genetic integrity                       | Strong populations: 0  | Not strong (>2000 adults) populations, Preliminary genetics data indicate 8 genetic subpopulations.  | FU                         |                       |   |   |    |        |
| Water Quality:<br>Temperature                           | 7 day average max (F<12°C, FR 12-15°C, FU >15°C )  | 7 day ave. max temp. 24.1°C highest temp 26.4°C. near Murphy Hot Springs (about 1.5 miles north of the Idaho/Nevada state line). Water temperatures for the lower portion of the East Fork of the Jarbidge River exceed temperatures for bull trout from mid-June into September and state standard for cold water biota 20°C. | FU                         |                       | ✓ |   | N  | Static |

|  |  |   |    |  |   |  |  |   |        |
|--|--|---|----|--|---|--|--|---|--------|
| Sediment   | F = % fines <20% surface fines ≤6mm or Natural conditions; FR = < natural to 75% of natural conditions; FU = <75% natural conditions.                  | R1/R4 stream data indicate instream fines are near the standard and natural condition data base for a similar size stream. E.F. Jarbidge % fines was 20.2% measured just up stream of Murphy Hot Springs. Above the draw where trailing occurs % fines dropped to 15.5%.  | F  |  | ✓ |  |  | Y | Down   |
| Chemical Contam./Nutrients:                      | F = Low levels<br>FR = moderate levels<br>UR = High levels   | No known pollutant sources; East Fork Jarbidge fecal coliform bacteria levels vary. Background levels are about 10-13 colonies/L upstream of Murphy Hot Spring and around 52 to 230 colonies/L downstream of the community. When livestock trail across the East Fork Jarbidge, fecal coliform rates spike to 1600 - 4400 colonies/L at the crossing point and 100 m downstream, respectively, but return to near background levels (10-20 colonies/l) within 24 hours. E.F. Jarbidge is not a CWA 303d stream. | F  |  | ✓ |  |  | Y | Down   |
| Habitat Access Physical Barriers                 | F = man made barriers present in watershed but allow upstream and downstream fish passage at all flows   | 3 bridges/no culverts present, fish passage at all flows  | F  |  |   |  |  |   |        |
| Habitat Elements Substrate Embeddedness (fines): | F=reach embeddedness <20%, FR = embeddedness 20-30%<br>FU = reach embeddedness >30%  | Embeddedness in the E.F. Jarbidge varied from 31.5% to 40%  | FU |  | ✓ |  |  | Y | Static |
| Large Woody Debris:                              | F = LWD frequency at or exceeds observed natural (or attainable) conditions; FR = <natural but ≥75% natural conditions; FU= ≤75% of natural conditions | R1/R4 data 48 pieces/mi; East Fork Jarbidge 30.9 pieces/mi of LWG. The habitat consists of black cottonwood with Rocky Mountain juniper increasing. A number of young cottonwood are present on the gravel bars. The low amount of large woody debris in a portion of the East Fork Jarbidge is in part due to locals removing snags to protect bridges in town and for firewood.   | FU |  |   |  |  |   |        |

|   |  |  |    |   |   |  |   |        |
|---|--|--|----|---|---|--|---|--------|
| Pool Frequency & Quality:   | F = pool frequency 60 pools/mi, good cover, cool water; or at natural conditions. FR < natural but >75%; FU <75% natural conditions  | East Fork Jarbidge: 51.5 pools/mile. C channel, 6 of 10 pools contained LWD. 1 of 10 pools had overhanging veg. (ave. 11 m by 1.5 m); 1 of 10 pools had under cut banks (ave.08 m X 0.4 m). Pool quality is generally low. The low amount of overhanging vegetation and under-cut banks on the East Fork Jarbidge are due in part to historic use and a rain on snow event in the mid 1990's. A rain on snow event in this drainage in the mid 1990's scoured the channel. It is still recovering. | FR | ✓ |   |  | Y | Up     |
| Large Pools:  | F= natural conditions 60% pools >0.5 m<br>FR <natural but >75%<br>FU < 75% natural condition   | 45% pools>0.5. Large pool ave. max. depth 0.7 m, pool size over 0.3 m 7.7 m X 4.0 m ;  | FU | ✓ |   |  | Y | Up     |
| Off-Channel Habitat   | F= Many backwaters, side channels, low energy areas; FR=some backwaters, etc., FU=few or no backwaters   | C channel above Murphy Hot Springs has some side channels and low energy areas; North of Murphy Hot Spring off channel habitat reduced over natural conditions due to road in the floodplain. Some recent beaver use at the edge of the stream channel.  | FR |   |   |  |   |        |
| Refugia:  | F= Population strong and well established. FR= Population strength and distribution weak and connected to refugia, FU=neither the pop strength and distribution is strong nor is refugia available | East Fork Jarbidge: refugia are located in portions of Slide, God's Pocket, Cougar, Fall and Dave Creeks in upper portions of the East Fork Jarbidge drainage. Following spawning fluvial bull trout likely are present through the late spring in the East Fork Jarbidge River.   | FR |   |   |  |   |        |
| Channel Condition & Dynamics -<br>- Wetted width/max Depth ratio: | F=w/d ratio ≥ natural condition; FR= Channel w/d ratio < to 75% of nat. cond.; FU >75% of natural conditions   | R1/R4 data w/d ratios should be 9-21, East Fork Jarbidge River: W/D ratio is 27.8. Number may reflect the impact of the rain on snow event in the mid 1990's. Bank modification has also occurred to a lesser degree on BLM lands from past grazing (degraded from natural condition).   | FU | ✓ |   |  | N | Static |
| Streambank condition:   | F= Streambank meets or exceeds natural condition, FR Streambank < nat. cond >75% nat cond.; FU streambank <75% nat. cond.  | R1/R4 banks 85-90% stable. East Fork Jarbidge: 20% of the banks were unstable, 77.3% were covered stable. 2.7% uncovered stable.   | FR |   | ✓ |  | N | Static |

|   |   |  |    |  |  |  |  |  |
|---|---|--|----|--|--|--|--|--|
| Floodplain connectivity:                              | F=Off channel areas are frequently linked to main channel hydrology, FR=Off channel habitats infrequently linked to main channel; FU= Off Channel habitat not linked.   | Data collected during PFC assessments indicated that the floodplain was flooded in 1-3 year intervals. Below Murphy Hot Springs the road built in the floodplain forces the water to the other bank.   | F  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Flow/Hydrology --Change in Peak/Base Flows:           | F=Comparable to an undisturbed watershed; FR= Some evidence of altered peak flow/base flow and/or flow timing; FU=Pronounced changes in peak flow   | Flows comparable to undisturbed watershed. Some water is removed for the Jim Bob Pipeline in a headwater tributary. This diversion is negligible on the E F Jarbidge water flows.  | F  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Drainage Network Increase (miles)                     | F=Minimal increases in active channel length correlated with human disturbance; FR= Low to moderate increase in active channel length correlated with human disturbance; FU Greater than moderate increase in active channel length.  | Existing drainage networks include the livestock trail across EF Jarbidge and up an unnamed draw.  | FR |  |  |  |  |  |
| Watershed Conditions (RHCA road density):             | F < 1 mi/square mile, no valley bottom roads; FR =1 -2.4 miles/square mile few valley bottom roads, UR >2.4 miles/square mile   | Total roads in watershed = 47.34. Watershed size≈ 85.4 sq miles. Road density ≈ 0.56 road miles/square mile. Valley bottom roads total ≈ 5.38 miles. Most of the valley bottom roads are from Murphy Hot Spring and down stream.               | FR |  |  |  |  |  |
| Disturbance History (Equivalent Clearcut Area ECA %): | F= <15% ECA of entire watershed with <u>no concentration</u> of disturbance in unstable or potentially unstable areas, refugia, and/or riparian area ; FR=<15% ECA of entire watershed but disturbance concentrated in unstable areas, refugia, or riparian area, FU= >15% ECA of entire watershed and disturbance concentrated in refugia and/or riparian area | ECA <15%, small areas of disturbance noted in riparian area. These are associated with cattle trailing (just south of Murphy Hot Springs) and recreation (camping/fishing) sites. The most heavily used camping areas were “hardened” in 2001. | FR |  |  |  |  |  |

|  |   |  |    |   |  |  |   |    |
|--|---|--|----|---|--|--|---|----|
| Riparian Conservation Areas (LWD/Shade)  | F=Provides adequate shade, LWD recruitment, habitat, connectivity in subwatersheds; refugia >80% intact, adequately buffer impacts on rangelands; FR=Moderate loss of connectivity, incomplete protection of habitats, refugia 70-80% intact, adequately buffer impacts on rangelands; FU=RCA are fragmented, poorly connected, provide inadequate protection of habitat for sensitive aquatic animals, (refugia <70% intact, % similarity to potential natural community/composition is <25% | East Fork of Jarbidge on BLM was rated as PFC upstream of Murphy Hot Spring. LWD is less than expected, probably due to locals cutting down trees to protect bridges and for firewood. Jarbidge Field Office does not sell firewood permits. On the old floodplain Rocky Mountain juniper is replacing cottonwood. Some point bars show signs of cottonwood recruitment. Downstream of Murphy Hot Springs the east bank has been impacted by the Jarbidge Road reducing the recruitment of LWD.  | FR | ✓ |  |  | N | Up |
| Disturbance regime (hazard/risk rating): | F=Natural processes are stable; FR=Events are localized that occur in several minor parts of the watershed, Resiliency of habitat to recover from environmental disturbance is moderate. FU= Frequent floods and drought produce highly variable and unpredictable flows, channel is simplified providing little hydrologic complexity. Natural processes are unstable.   | Events occur in minor parts of the watershed. Rilling is present on roads and road shoulders down the E.F. Jarbidge, toward Murphy Hot Springs and up to Wilkins Island. An erosion gully formed prior to 1976 near the road to Wilkins Island (T16S, R9E Sec 26 SESWNE). Some rills are present on the livestock trail to Wilkins Island. Livestock crossing location on the East Fork Jarbidge River is also used by ATV's, motorcycles, and 4x4 trucks. There is evidence of slight livestock use in the BLM portion of the E.F. Jarbidge at other than the crossing point. Horse use (probably from Murphy Hot Springs) was evident down stream of Murphy Hot Springs. A few cow pats were observed off Cougar Point in 1996, but grazing levels were slight (<20% use). | FR |   |  |  |   |    |

|   |  |  |           |          |  |  |          |           |
|---|--|--|-----------|----------|--|--|----------|-----------|
| <p>Integration of Species and Habitat Conditions:</p> | <p>F=Habitat quality and connectivity among subpopulations are high. Migratory form is present. Disturbance has not altered channel equilibrium. Fine sediments and other habitat characteristics influencing survival or growth is consistent with pristine habitat. Subpopulation would recover in 1 - 2 generations (5-10 years). Population is fluctuating around equilibrium or growing. FR=Fine sediments, stream temperatures, or availability of suitable habitats have been altered and will not recover to predisturbance conditions within 5 years. Subpopulation is reduced in size, but does not represent a long-term trend. Subpopulation is stable or fluctuating around a downward trend. Connectivity occurs but habitats are more fragmented. FU= Cumulative disruption of habitat has resulted in a clear declining trend in subpopulation size. Under current management, habitat conditions will not improve within (10years). Little or no connectivity remains among subpopulations.</p> | <p>The migratory form is evident. To date there is no information showing movements of fluvial bull trout between populations in the E F Jarbidge and Jarbidge watersheds. Data in the E.F. Jarbidge upstream of Murphy Hot Springs suggest fines are elevated compared to pristine habitat. Water temperatures in the summer (late June to early September) exceed those needed for bull trout rearing near Murphy Hot Springs, but headwater streams are cooler. A number of old cottonwood are still present, but young trees have not developed in the understory. Increasing Rocky Mountain junipers lack the height and provide less channel shading. Habitat and temperatures will not recover to predisturbance conditions within 2 generations with current management.</p> | <p>FR</p> | <p>✓</p> |  |  | <p>N</p> | <p>Up</p> |
|---|--|--|-----------|----------|--|--|----------|-----------|

**Status:** Functioning Appropriately - F      Functioning at Risk - FR      Functioning at Unacceptable Risk - FU      Unknown - UK  
**Effect:** R - Restore: the action will result in a positive change in the indicator evaluated, M - Maintain: the action will have no effect on the status of the indicator evaluated; D - Degrade: the action will result in a negative change in the indicator evaluated; HA - Hinder Attainment, PT - Perceived trend if action is adopted; NA - Not applicable.  
**PJ:** Professional Judgement

Checklist- Environmental baseline and effects on relevant indicators for: Poison Butte, Diamond “A”, Seventy One Desert, Crawfish, and Inside Desert Allotments

**Subpopulation Watershed:** Jarbidge River **Local Population watershed:** Jarbidge River below confluence with E.F. Jarbidge **Habitat:** Nodal

| Pathways Indicators                                     | Population and Environmental Baseline   |  |                            | Effects of the Action |   |   |    |     |
|---|---|--|----------------------------|-----------------------|---|---|----|-----|
|   | Criteria  | Present Condition  | Functionality (F/FR/FU/UK) | R                     | M | D | HA | P T |
| Subpopulation Characteristics<br>Local Population Size: | F = Total pop. >2000<br>FR = Total pop. <2000 >1500<br>FU = Total pop <1500   | Available data suggest that there are less than 1,500 bull trout in the headwaters streams of the Jarbidge River.  | FU                         |                       |   |   |    |     |
| Growth and Survival:                                    | F = Adult/young ratio >.1 & <.1 extent habitat 70,000 square m; FR= adult/young ratio <.1 or habitat <70,000 sq m; FU = adult/young ratio <.1 and habitat <70,000 sq m, and subpopulation is at very low numbers          | No data  | UK                         |                       |   |   |    |     |
| Life History Diversity/<br>isolation                    | F=Migratory form is present and subpopulation is close and connected to other spawning/rearing groups; FR=Migratory form is present, but not well connected to other spawning/rearing groups; FU=Migratory form is absent | PJ. Fluvial bull trout have been observed in the lower reaches of E. and main stem of the Jarbidge. IDF&G have observed bull trout below the confluence of the E. F. Jarbidge. In October 11, 2001, no evidence of spawning was noted. | FR                         |                       |   |   |    |     |
| Persistence and genetic integrity                       | Strong populations: >5  | No strong (>2000 adults) populations, Preliminary genetics data indicate 8 genetic subpopulations. The populations are split between the headwaters streams of the East Fork and Jarbidge River  | FU                         |                       |   |   |    |     |
| Water Quality:<br>Temperature                           | 7 day average max (F<12°C, FR 12-15°C, FU >15°C )   | 7 day ave. max. 27°C (Aug 1994). The temperature was taken at a location just downstream of the confluence with the East Fork Jarbidge (T16S, R9E, Sec. 10 NENWSE).  | FU                         |                       |   |   |    |     |

|  |  |  |    |  |   |  |    |    |
|--|--|--|----|--|---|--|----|----|
| Sediment   | F = % fines <20% surface fines ≤6mm or Natural conditions; FR = < natural to 75% of natural conditions; FU = <75% natural cond.                        | Average 8% fines (from Warren and Partridge 1993). Range 1 to 17%. Fines are higher just down stream of the East Fork Jarbidge confluence but decline further down the stream as the distance from the roads increases. Phenomenon most likely related to sediment from roads (Warren and Partridge 1993). | F  |  | ✓ |  | UK | UK |
| Chemical Contam./Nutrients:                      | F = Low levels<br>FR = moderate levels<br>UR = High levels   | Data from 1982 had the following levels (ppm = parts per million) Nitrogen= 2.0, Sulfate=5.0, Organophosphate=<0.05, Pb=<0.05; Hg=<0.0005, Chloride=3.5, Fecal Coliform=50 organisms/100 ml, Fecal Strep 40 organisms/ 100 ml  | F  |  |   |  |    |    |
| Habitat Access Physical Barriers                 | F = man made barriers present in watershed but allow upstream and downstream fish passage at all flows   | None   | F  |  |   |  |    |    |
| Habitat Elements Substrate Embeddedness (fines): | F=reach embeddedness <20%, FR = embeddedness 20-30% FU = reach embeddedness >30%   | No Data  | UK |  | ✓ |  | UK | UK |
| Large Woody Debris:                              | F = LWD frequency at or exceeds observed natural (or attainable) conditions; FR = <natural but ≥75% natural conditions; FU= ≤75% of natural conditions | R1/R4 data base 21 pieces/ mile. LWD 9.2 pieces/mile. The dominant tree is Rocky Mountain juniper. Willows and other shrubs are generally sparse.  | FU |  |   |  |    |    |
| Pool Frequency & Quality:                        | F = pool frequency 60 pools/mi, good cover, cool water; or at natural conditions. FR < natural but >75%; FU <75% natural conditions                    | No numerical pool data. Warren and Partridge (1993) provided % of habitat that was pools for 2 reaches 21% and 35.9%. Overall pools averaged 30.6% for the Jarbidge River.   | UK |  |   |  |    |    |
| Large Pools:                                     | F= natural conditions 60% pools >0.5 m<br>FR <natural but >75%<br>FU < 75% natural conditions  | No data  | UK |  |   |  |    |    |
| Off-Channel Habitat                              | F= Many backwaters, side channels, low energy areas; FR=some backwaters, etc., FU=few or no backwaters   | Floodplain is confined in a deep narrow volcanic canyon and is naturally limited.  | F  |  |   |  |    |    |

|   |  |   |    |  |   |  |    |        |
|---|--|---|----|--|---|--|----|--------|
| Refugia:  | F= Population strong and well established.<br>FR= Population strength and distribution weak and connected to refugia, FU=neither the pop strength and distribution is strong nor is refugia available                                | Jarbidge River population is cut off from all other bull trout watersheds by dams along the Snake River. Population within the watershed is low.  | FU |  |   |  |    |        |
| Channel Condition & Dynamics -- Wetted width/max Depth ratio: | F=w/d ratio $\geq$ natural condition; FR= Channel w/d ratio < to 75% of nat. cond.; FU >75% of nat conditions.   | R1/R4 data w/d ratios should vary between 10-42, Jarbidge w/d ratio 54 (calculated Warren and Partridge 1993  | FR |  |   |  |    |        |
| Streambank condition:   | F= Streambank meets or exceeds natural condition, FR Streambank < nat. cond >75% nat cond.; FU streambank <75% nat. cond.  | R1/R4 data streambanks 85-95 percent stable.<br><b>No data</b>  | UK |  | ✓ |  | No | Static |
| Floodplain connectivity:                                      | F=Off channel areas are frequently linked to main channel hydrology, FR=Off channel habitats infrequently linked to main channel; FU= Off Channel habitat not linked.  | Data collected during PFC assessments indicated that the floodplain was flooded in 1-3 year intervals. Off channel habitat naturally limited by topography.   | F  |  |   |  |    |        |
| Flow/Hydrology --Change in Peak/Base Flows:                   | F=Comparable to an undisturbed watershed; FR= Some evidence of altered peak flow/base flow and/or flow timing; FU=Pronounced changes in peak flow  | Jarbidge has a community water system with its source being a spring in the Bear Creek Watershed. Murphy Hot Spring apparently is served by individual wells. Impact of water use by these communities on the base flow is unknown. | UK |  |   |  |    |        |
| Drainage Network Increase (miles)                             | F=Minimal increases in active channel length correlated with human disturbance; FR= Low to moderate increase in active channel length correlated with human disturbance; FU Greater than moderate increase in active channel length. | <b>No data</b>  | UK |  |   |  |    |        |
| Watershed Conditions (RHCA road density):                     | F < 1 mi/square mile, no valley bottom roads; FR =1 -2.4 miles/square mile few valley bottom roads, UR >2.4 miles/square mile  | Total roads in watershed = 49.9 Watershed size=110.3 sq miles. Road density = 0.45 miles road/square mile; Valley bottom roads = 0 miles.   | F  |  |   |  |    |        |

|   |   |   |    |  |  |  |  |  |
|---|---|---|----|--|--|--|--|--|
| Disturbance History (Equivalent Clearcut Area ECA %): | F= <15% ECA of entire watershed with <u>no concentration</u> of disturbance in unstable or potentially unstable areas, refugia, and/or riparian area ; FR=<15% ECA of entire watershed but disturbance concentrated in unstable areas, refugia, or riparian area, FU= >15% ECA of entire watershed and disturbance concentrated in refugia and/or riparian area   | Wild fires have burned >15% of the watershed area in the past 10 years. The most recent fire was in 1999, Disturbance has not been concentrated in riparian area.   | FR |  |  |  |  |  |
| Riparian Conservation Areas (LWD/Shade)               | F=Provides adequate shade, LWD recruitment, habitat protection and connectivity in subwatersheds, refugia >80% intact, adequately buffer impacts on rangelands; FR=Moderate loss of connectivity, incomplete protection of habitats, refugia 70-80% intact and adequately buffer impacts on rangelands; FU= RCA are fragmented, poorly connected, and provide inadequate protection of habitat for sensitive aquatic animals, (<70% intact, refugia does not occur, percent similarity to potential natural community/composition is <25% | Rocky Mountain junipers provide little shade for the Jarbidge River due to their relatively short stature and shape. The Jarbidge River is likely used only as nodal habitat following spawning through early June. Large woody debris is limited. Maximum water temperatures are >15°C beginning in late June through early September.   | FR |  |  |  |  |  |
| Disturbance regime (hazard/risk rating):              | F=Natural processes are stable; FR=Events are localized that occur in several minor parts of the watershed, Resiliency of habitat to recover from environmental disturbance is moderate. FU= Frequent floods and drought produce highly variable and unpredictable flows, channel is simplified providing little hydrologic complexity. Natural processes are unstable.   | The roads upstream of the confluence of the East Fork Jarbidge and West Fork Jarbidge are a constant source of sediment. Channels restricted by the roads increase water velocity and reduce the habitat available to produce large woody debris and off channel habitat. Poison Creek, an ephemeral stream, produces pulses of sediment into the Jarbidge River when it runs. Water flows in Poison Creek about 1 in 5-7 years. Other major drainages include Cougar, Columbet, and Dorsey Creeks. | FR |  |  |  |  |  |

|   |  |   |  |  |   |  |    |    |
|---|--|---|--|--|---|--|----|----|
| <p>Integration of Species and Habitat Conditions:</p> | <p>F=Habitat quality and connectivity among subpopulations are high. Migratory form is present. Disturbance has not altered channel equilibrium. Fine sediments and other habitat characteristics influencing survival or growth is consistent with pristine habitat. Subpopulation would recover in 1 - 2 generations (5-10 years). Population is fluctuating around equilibrium or growing. FR=Fine sediments, stream temperatures, or availability of suitable habitats have been altered and will not recover to predisturbance conditions within 5 years. Subpopulation is reduced in size, but reduction does not represent a long-term trend. Subpopulation is stable or fluctuating around a downward trend. Connectivity occurs but habitats are more fragmented. FU= Cumulative disruption of habitat has resulted in a clear declining trend in subpopulation size. Under current management, habitat conditions will not improve within 2 generations (10 years). Little or no connectivity remains among subpopulations. Subpopulation responds sharply to normal environmental events.</p> | <p>Partridge (pers comm.) observed bull trout on 1 occasion downstream of the East Fork Jarbidge confluence. Old Idaho Fish &amp; Game data indicate that the fluvial form is occasionally present even in the Bruneau River. This would be consistent with bull trout movements from Rapid River into the Salmon River. Water temperatures are too warm in the main stem of the Jarbidge from mid June into September to provide year round habitat for bull trout. Water temperatures are elevated prior to reaching the main stem of the Jarbidge River. Rocky Mountain junipers throughout the canyon generally do not provide shade or large woody debris for habitat.</p> |  |  | ✓ |  | UK | UK |
|---|--|---|--|--|---|--|----|----|

**Status:** Functioning Appropriately - F      Functioning at Risk - FR      Functioning at Unacceptable Risk - UR      Unknown - UK  
**Effect:** R - Restore: the action will result in a positive change in the indicator evaluated, M - Maintain: the action will have no effect on the status of the indicator evaluated; D - Degrade: the action will result in a negative change in the indicator evaluated; HA - Hinder Attainment, PT - Perceived trend if action is adopted; NA - Not applicable.  
**PJ:** Professional Judgement

Checklist- Environmental baseline and effects on relevant indicators for: Operation of the Jim Bob Pipeline for the Poison Butte, Inside Desert, Juniper Butte, Juniper Draw, Crawfish, and North Antelope Butte Allotments

**Subpopulation Watershed:** Jarbidge River **Local Population watershed:** Jim Bob Creek **Habitat:** **Adjunct in Robinson Creek**

| Pathways Indicators                                     | Population and Environmental Baseline   |   |                            | Effects of the Action |   |   |    |     |
|---|---|---|----------------------------|-----------------------|---|---|----|-----|
|   | Criteria  | Present Condition   | Functionality (F/FR/FU/UK) | R                     | M | D | HA | P T |
| Subpopulation Characteristics<br>Local Population Size: | F = Total pop. >2000<br>FR = Total pop. <2000 >1500<br>FU = Total pop <1500   | Inventory by NDOW has not found bull trout in Jim Bob nor Robinson Creeks. Redband trout are found in both tributaries. Slide, Fall, and Cougar Creeks as well as the headwaters of the East Fork contain small numbers of resident bull trout. | FU                         |                       |   |   |    |     |
| Growth and Survival:                                    | F = Adult/young ratio >.1 & <.1 extent habitat 70,000 square m; FR= adult/young ratio <.1or habitat <70,000 sq m; FU = adult/young ratio <.1 and habitat <70,000 sq m, and subpopulation is at very low numbers             | No Data   | UK                         |                       |   |   |    |     |
| Life History Diversity/<br>isolation                    | F=Migratory form is present and subpopulation is close and connected to other spawning/rearing groups;<br>FR=Migratory for is present, but not well connected to other spawning/rearing groups; FU=Migratory form is absent | No Data   | UK                         |                       |   |   |    |     |
| Persistence and genetic integrity                       | Strong populations: >5  | No strong populations, Preliminary genetics data indicate 8 genetic subpopulations, 4 of which are in the E.F. Jarbidge headwaters.   | FU                         |                       |   |   |    |     |

|  |   |  |    |  |   |  |  |        |
|--|---|--|----|--|---|--|--|--------|
| Water Quality:<br>Temperature                    | 7 day average max (F<12°C, FR 12-15°C, FU >15°C)  | Jim Bob Creek 7 day ave. max. [upstream] 12.67°C, Highest temp - 13.41°C occurred in 1999; downstream]. 7 day ave. max., Overall summer ave temp 7.8°C. Monitoring locations above and below the diversion on Jim Bob Creek (T46N R59E, Sec. 11 SWSENE). Temperatures were about 1 °C cooler in 2000 and 2001. No season long temp data has been collected for the lower part of Jim Bob Creek or Robinson Creek | FR |  | ✓ |  |  | Static |
| Sediment   | F = % fines <20% surface fines ≤6mm or Natural conditions; FR = < natural to 75% of natural conditions; FU = <75% natural cond.   | No data; On FS administered land   | UK |  |   |  |  |        |
| Chemical Contam./Nutrients:                      | F = Low levels<br>FR = moderate levels<br>FU = High levels  | No data; On FS administered land   | UK |  |   |  |  |        |
| Habitat Access Physical Barriers                 | F = man made barriers present in watershed but allow upstream and downstream fish passage at all flows; FR = man made barriers allow up and down stream fish passage during some flow periods; FU = man made barriers form a block to fish passage at all flows | No man made barriers downstream of the diversion. Diversion forms a block to fish passage at all flows on Jim Bob Creek upstream of this point   | FU |  | ✓ |  |  | Static |
| Habitat Elements Substrate Embeddedness (fines): | F=reach embeddedness <20%, FR = embeddedness 20-30%<br>FU = reach embeddedness >30%   | No Data; On FS administered land   | UK |  |   |  |  |        |

|   |  |  |    |  |  |  |  |  |
|---|--|--|----|--|--|--|--|--|
| Large Woody Debris:   | F = LWD frequency at or exceeds observed natural (or attainable) conditions; FR = <natural but ≥75% natural conditions; FU= ≤75% of natural conditions   | No Data; On FS administered land   | UK |  |  |  |  |  |
| Pool Frequency & Quality:                                     | F = pool frequency 60 pools/mi, good cover, cool water; or at natural conditions. FR < natural but >75%; FU <75% natural conditions  | No Data; On FS administered land   | UK |  |  |  |  |  |
| Large Pools:  | F= natural conditions 60% pools >0.5 m<br>FR <natural but >75%<br>FU < 75% natural cond  | No Data; On FS administered land   | UK |  |  |  |  |  |
| Off-Channel Habitat   | F= Many backwaters, side channels, low energy areas; FR=some backwaters, etc.,<br>FU= few or no backwaters   | No Data; On FS administered land   | UK |  |  |  |  |  |
| Refugia:  | F= Population strong and well established. FR= Population strength and distribution weak and connected to refugia, FU=neither the pop strength and distribution is strong nor is refugia available | Nearest refugia is Slide Creek,  | FU |  |  |  |  |  |
| Channel Condition & Dynamics -- Wetted width/max Depth ratio: | F=w/d ratio ≥ natural condition; FR= Channel w/d ratio < to 75% of natural. cond.; FU >75% of natural cond.  | R1/R4 data w/d ratios should be 5-9; Jim Bob Creek 10.42; Robinson Creek 10.38 | FR |  |  |  |  |  |
| Streambank condition:   | F= Streambank meets or exceeds natural condition for streambank stability, FR Streambank < natural. cond >75% natural cond.; FU streambank <75% nat. cond.   | No Data; On FS administered land   | UK |  |  |  |  |  |

|   |  |   |    |  |   |  |  |        |
|---|--|---|----|--|---|--|--|--------|
| Floodplain connectivity:                    | F=Off channel areas are frequently linked to main channel hydrology, FR=Off channel habitats infrequently linked to main channel; FU= Off Channel habitat not linked.  | No Data; On FS administered land  | UK |  |   |  |  |        |
| Flow/Hydrology --Change in Peak/Base Flows: | F=Comparable to an undisturbed watershed; FR= Some evidence of altered peak flow/base flow and/or flow timing; FU=Pronounced changes in peak flow  | Based upon pipe size, change in elevation and length the <b>maximum</b> amount of water that could be diverted from Jim Bob Creek is 0.8 cfs or 360 gpm. Data collected on September 24, 2002 indicate that 0.18 cfs was being removed from Jim Bob Creek at the diversion point. The inlet in the collection box was not entirely under water and no water was leaving by the overflow. Upstream of the Jim Bob diversion flows were about 0.3 cfs. Near Jim Bob Creek's confluence with Robinson Creek flows were up to 1.19 cfs. Flows in Robinson Creek were 1.85 cfs above the confluence. | FR |  | ✓ |  |  | Static |
| Drainage Network Increase (miles)           | F=Minimal increases in active channel length correlated with human disturbance; FR= Low to moderate increase in active channel length correlated with human disturbance; FU Greater than moderate increase in active channel length. | No Data; On FS administered land  | UK |  |   |  |  |        |
| Watershed Conditions (RHCA road density):   | F < 1 mi/square mile, no valley bottom roads; FR =1 -2.4 miles/square mile few valley bottom roads, UR >2.4 miles/square mile  | Total roads in watershed =4.77 miles Watershed size = 2.11 sq miles. Road density = 2.26 roads/square mile. Valley bottom roads = <0.04miles. A new jeep trail down a steep slope that connected to the original jeep trail has formed in the past 15 years   | FR |  | ✓ |  |  | Static |

|   |   |  |    |  |  |  |  |  |
|---|---|--|----|--|--|--|--|--|
| Disturbance History (Equivalent Clearcut Area ECA %): | F= <15% ECA of entire watershed with <u>no concentration</u> of disturbance in unstable or potentially unstable areas, refugia, and/or riparian area; FR=<15% ECA of entire watershed but disturbance concentrated in unstable areas, refugia, or riparian area, FU= >15% ECA of entire watershed and disturbance concentrated in refugia and/or riparian area  | ECA <15%, areas of disturbance noted in refugia and riparian area.                         | FR |  |  |  |  |  |
| Riparian Conservation Areas (LWD/Shade)               | F=Provides adequate shade, LWD recruitment, habitat protection and connectivity in subwatersheds, refugia >80% intact, adequately buffer impacts on rangelands; FR=Moderate loss of connectivity, incomplete protection of habitats, refugia 70-80% intact and adequately buffer impacts on rangelands; FU= RCA are fragmented, poorly connected, and provide inadequate protection of habitat for sensitive aquatic animals, (<70% intact, refugia does not occur, percent similarity to potential natural community/composition is <25% | No Data; On FS administered land   | FR |  |  |  |  |  |
| Disturbance regime (hazard/risk rating):              | F=Natural processes are stable; FR=Events are localized that occur in several minor parts of the watershed, Resiliency of habitat to recover from environmental disturbance is moderate. FU= Frequent floods and drought produce highly variable and unpredictable flows, channel is simplified providing little hydrologic complexity. Natural processes are unstable.   | The diversion structure is in the channel bottom and has been washed out once in the past. |    |  |  |  |  |  |

|   |  |  |           |  |          |  |  |               |
|---|--|--|-----------|--|----------|--|--|---------------|
| <p>Integration of Species and Habitat Conditions:</p> | <p>F=Habitat quality and connectivity among subpopulations are high. Migratory form is present. Disturbance has not altered channel equilibrium. Fine sediments and other habitat characteristics influencing survival or growth are consistent with pristine habitat. Subpopulation would recover in 1 - 2 generations (5-10 years). Population is fluctuating around equilibrium or growing. FR=Fine sediments, stream temperatures, or availability of suitable habitats have been altered and will not recover to predisturbance conditions within 5 years. Subpopulation is reduced in size, but reduction does not represent a long-term trend. Subpopulation is stable or fluctuating around a downward trend. Connectivity occurs but habitats are more fragmented. FU= Cumulative disruption of habitat has resulted in a clear declining trend in subpopulation size. Under current management, habitat conditions will not improve within 2 generations (10years). Little or no connectivity remains among subpopulations. Subpopulation responds sharply to normal environmental events.</p> | <p>In the past 15 years a new jeep trail formed that connects to the existing jeep trail to the diversion structure. Sediment trapped by the diversion structure and removed during maintenance was placed where it could wash back into the stream channel.</p> | <p>FR</p> |  | <p>✓</p> |  |  | <p>Static</p> |
|---|--|--|-----------|--|----------|--|--|---------------|

**Status:** Functioning Appropriately - F      Functioning at Risk - FR      Functioning at Unacceptable Risk - FU      Unknown - UK  
**Effect:** R - Restore: the action will result in a positive change in the indicator evaluated; M - Maintain: the action will have no effect on the status of the indicator evaluated; D - Degrade: the action will result in a negative change in the indicator evaluated; HA - Hinder Attainment, PT - Perceived trend if action is adopted; NA - Not applicable.  
**PJ:** Professional Judgement

### Matrix for Permitted Recreation

| Subpopulation Watershed: Jarbidge River    Local Population Watershed: Mainstem Jarbidge    Habitat: N |   |                           |                          |   |          |         |                         |   |
|--|---|---------------------------|--------------------------|---|----------|---------|-------------------------|---|
| Diagnostics/ Pathways Indicators   | Population And Environmental Baseline               |                           |                          | Effects of the Action(s) on RELEVANT Indicators |          |         |                         |   |
|  | Standard Criteria                                   | Present Condition         | Functionality (F/FR/FU)* | Restore   | Maintain | Degrade | Hinder attainment (Y/N) | T |
| <u>Subpopulation Characteristics</u><br>Population Size:   | >2000   | <1,500                    | FU                       |   |          |         |                         | S |
| Growth & Survival:   | Adlt/juv ratio >.1- <.1                             | Unknown                   | UK                       |   | X        |         | N                       | S |
| Life History Diversity/Isolation:  | Migratory Connected                                 | Migratory Not connect     | FR                       |   |          |         |                         |   |
| Persistence & Genetic integrity:   | Strong pops 5 - 3                                   | 0                         | FU                       |   |          |         |                         |   |
| Water Quality / Temperature  | Rearing 12-15 C                                     | July-early Sept >24C      | FU                       |   |          |         |                         |   |
| Sediment   | Fines <20%  | No Data                   | UK                       |   | X        |         | N                       | S |
| Chemical Contamin./ Nutrients  | Absent  | Absent                    | F                        |   | X        |         | N                       | S |
| Habitat Access Physical Barriers:  | Movements at all flows                              | No barriers               | F                        |   | X        |         | N                       | S |
| <u>Habitat Elements</u><br>Substrate Embeddedness:   | <20%  | No Data                   | UK                       |   |          |         |                         |   |
| Large Woody Debris:  | ≥ natural conditions                                | No Data                   | UK                       |   |          |         |                         |   |
| Pool Frequency and quality:  | 60 pools/mi, good cover, or natural conditions      | No Data                   | UK                       |   |          |         |                         |   |
| Large Pools:   | 60% > .5 m deep                                     | No Data                   | UK                       |   |          |         |                         |   |
| Off-channel Habitat:   | Many backwaters, side channels                      | Naturally limited         | F                        |   |          |         |                         |   |
| Refugia:   | Popn. Strong, well established                      | popn low                  | FU                       |   |          |         |                         |   |
| <u>Channel Condit. &amp; Dynamics</u><br>Wetted width/Max Depth ratio:                                 | Nat. condition 20-42                                | w/d = 54                  | FR                       |   |          |         |                         |   |
| Streambank Condition:  | 85-95% stable                                       | No Data                   | UK                       |   | X        |         | N                       | S |
| Floodplain connectivity:   | Natural conditions                                  | Nat. condit.              | F                        |   |          |         |                         |   |
| <u>Flow/Hydrology</u><br>Change in Peak/Base Flows:  | = undisturbed watershed                             | Nat. condit.              | F                        |   |          |         |                         |   |
| Drainage network increase (miles:)   | Minimal increase                                    | Nat. Condit.              | F                        |   |          |         |                         |   |
| Watershed Conditions (RHCA road density):  | Road density <1 mi/square mi. Few valley bottom rds | Rd density .45 mi/2mi     | F                        |   |          |         |                         |   |
| Disturbance history (Equivalent Clear-cut Area ECA%):  | <15% ECA for watershed                              | Burned <15% past 10 years | F                        |   | X        |         | N                       | S |
| Riparian Conservation Areas (LWD/Shade):   | Adequate shade & large woody debris                 | Lot of exposed channel    | FR                       |   |          |         |                         |   |
| Disturbance Regime (Hazard/risk rating):   | Nat processes are stable                            | Moderate resiliency       | FR                       |   |          |         |                         |   |
| Integration of species and Habitat conditions.   | Hab quality high, connectivity of subpopulations    | Low pop & connectivty     | FR                       |   |          |         |                         |   |

\* F=functioning appropriately, FR=functioning at risk, FU=functioning at unacceptable risk.

### Matrix for Noxious Weed Control

| Subpopulation Watershed: Jarbidge River Local Population Watershed: Entire watershed area |   |                           |                          |   |          |         |                         |   |
|---|---|---------------------------|--------------------------|---|----------|---------|-------------------------|---|
| Habitat: <b>Focal, Nodal, and Adjunct</b>   |   |                           |                          |   |          |         |                         |   |
| Diagnostics/ Pathways Indicators  | Population And Environmental Baseline               |                           |                          | Effects of the Action(s) on RELEVANT Indicators |          |         |                         |   |
|   | Standard Criteria                                   | Present Condition         | Functionality (F/FR/FU)* | Restore   | Maintain | Degrade | Hinder attainment (Y/N) | T |
| <u>Subpopulation Characteristics</u><br>Population Size:                                  | >2000   | <1,500                    | FU                       |   |          |         |                         |   |
| Growth & Survival:  | Adlt/juv ratio >.1- <1                              | Unknown                   | UK                       |   |          |         |                         |   |
| Life History Diversity/Isolation:   | Migratory Connected                                 | Migratory Not connect     | FR                       |   |          |         |                         |   |
| Persistence & Genetic integrity:  | Strong pops >5                                      | 0                         | FU                       |   |          |         |                         |   |
| Water Quality / Temperature   | Rearing 12-15 C                                     | July-early Sept >24C      | FU                       |   |          |         |                         |   |
| Sediment  | Fines <20%  | No Data                   | UK                       |   |          |         |                         |   |
| Chemical Contamin./ Nutrients   | Absent  | Absent                    | F                        |   | X        |         | N                       | S |
| Habitat Access Physical Barriers:   | Movements at all flows                              | No barriers               | F                        |   |          |         |                         |   |
| <u>Habitat Elements</u><br>Substrate Embeddedness:  | <20%  | No Data                   | UK                       |   |          |         |                         |   |
| Large Woody Debris:   | ≥ natural conditions                                | No Data                   | UK                       |   |          |         |                         |   |
| Pool Frequency and quality:   | 60 pools/mi, good cover, or natural conditions      | No Data                   | UK                       |   |          |         |                         |   |
| Large Pools:  | 60% > .5 m deep                                     | No Data                   | UK                       |   |          |         |                         |   |
| Off-channel Habitat:  | Many backwaters, side channels                      | Naturally limited         | F                        |   |          |         |                         |   |
| Refugia:  | Popn. Strong, well established                      | popn low                  | FU                       |   |          |         |                         |   |
| <u>Channel Condit. &amp; Dynamics</u><br>Wetted width/Max Depth ratio:                    | Nat. condition 20-42                                | w/d = 54                  | FR                       |   |          |         |                         |   |
| Streambank Condition:   | 85-95% stable                                       | No Data                   | UK                       |   |          |         |                         |   |
| Floodplain connectivity:  | Natural conditions                                  | Nat. condit.              | F                        |   |          |         |                         |   |
| <u>Flow/Hydrology</u><br>Change in Peak/Base Flows:                                       | = undisturbed watershed                             | Natural conditions        | F                        |   |          |         |                         |   |
| Drainage network increase (miles:)  | Minimal increase                                    | Nat. Condit.              | F                        |   |          |         |                         |   |
| Watershed Conditions (RHCA road density):   | Road density <1 mi/square mi. Few valley bottom rds | Rd density .45 mi/2mi     | F                        |   |          |         |                         |   |
| Disturbance history (Equivalent Clear-cut Area ECA%):                                     | <15% ECA for watershed                              | Burned <15% past 10 years | F                        |   |          |         |                         |   |
| Riparian Conservation Areas (LWD/Shade):  | Adequate shade & large woody debris                 | Lot of exposed channel    | FR                       |   |          |         |                         |   |
| Disturbance Regime (Hazard/risk rating):  | Nat processes are stable                            | Moderate resiliency       | FR                       |   |          |         |                         |   |
| Integration of species and Habitat conditions.  | Hab quality high, connectivity of subpopulations    | Low pop & connectivty     | FR                       |   |          |         |                         |   |

\* F=functioning appropriately, FR=functioning at risk, FU=functioning at unacceptable risk.

## Matrix for Fire Suppression

| Subpopulation Watershed: Jarbidge River                                |   | Local Population Watershed: Entire watershed area |                          |   |          |         |                         |
|--|---|---|--------------------------|---|----------|---------|-------------------------|
| Habitat: <b>Focal, Nodal, and Adjunct</b>                              |   |   |                          |   |          |         |                         |
| Diagnostics/ Pathways Indicators                                       | Population And Environmental Baseline                     |   |                          | Effects of the Action(s) on RELEVANT Indicators |          |         |                         |
|  | Standard Criteria   | Present Condition                                 | Functionality (F/FR/FU)* | Restore   | Maintain | Degrade | Hinder attainment (Y/N) |
| <u>Subpopulation Characteristics</u><br>Population Size:               | >2000   | <1,500  | FU                       |   |          |         |                         |
| Growth & Survival:   | Adlt/juv ratio >.1-<br><.1                                | Unknown   | UK                       |   | X        |         | N                       |
| Life History<br>Diversity/Isolation:                                   | Migratory<br>Connected                                    | Migratory<br>Not<br>connect                       | FR                       |   |          |         |                         |
| Persistence & genetic integrity:                                       | Strong pops >5  | 0   | FU                       |   |          |         |                         |
| Water Quality / Temperature  | Rearing<br>12-15 C  | July-early<br>Sept >24C                           | FU                       |   | X        |         | N                       |
| Sediment   | Fines <20%  | No Data   | UK                       |   | X        |         | N                       |
| Chemical Contamin./ Nutrients  | Absent  | Absent  | F                        |   | X        |         | N                       |
| Habitat Access Physical<br>Barriers:                                   | Movements at all<br>flows                                 | No barriers                                       | F                        |   |          |         |                         |
| Habitat Elements<br>Substrate Embeddedness:                            | <20%  | No Data   | UK                       |   | X        |         | N                       |
| Large Woody Debris:  | ≥ natural conditns  | No Data   | UK                       |   | X        |         | N                       |
| Pool Frequency and quality:  | 60 pools/mi, good<br>cover, or natural<br>conditions      | No Data   | UK                       |   |          |         |                         |
| Large Pools:   | 60% > .5 m deep   | No Data   | UK                       |   |          |         |                         |
| Off-channel Habitat:   | Many backwaters,<br>side channels                         | Naturally<br>limited                              | F                        |   |          |         |                         |
| Refugia:   | Popn. Strong, well<br>established                         | popn low  | FU                       |   |          |         |                         |
| <u>Channel Condit. &amp; Dynamics</u><br>Wetted width/Max Depth ratio: | Nat. condition 20-<br>42                                  | w/d = 54  | FR                       |   |          |         |                         |
| Streambank Condition:  | 85-95% stable   | No Data   | UK                       |   |          |         |                         |
| Floodplain connectivity:   | Natural conditions  | Nat. condit.                                      | F                        |   |          |         |                         |
| <u>Flow/Hydrology</u><br>Change in Peak/Base Flows:                    | = undisturbed<br>watershed                                | Natural<br>conditions                             | F                        |   |          |         |                         |
| Drainage network increase<br>(miles:)                                  | Minimal increase  | Nat. condit.                                      | F                        |   |          |         |                         |
| Watershed Conditions (RHCA<br>road density):                           | Road density <1<br>mi/square mi. Few<br>valley bottom rds | Rd density<br>.45 mi/2mi                          | F                        |   | X        |         | N                       |
| Disturbance history (Equivalent<br>Clear-cut Area ECA%):               | <15% ECA for<br>watershed                                 | Burned<br><15% past<br>10 years                   | F                        |   | X        |         | N                       |
| Riparian Conservation Areas<br>(LWD/Shade):                            | Adequate shade &<br>large woody debris                    | Lot of<br>exposed<br>channel                      | FR                       |   | X        |         | N                       |
| Disturbance Regime<br>(Hazard/risk rating):                            | Nat processes are<br>stable                               | Moderate<br>resiliency                            | FR                       |   |          |         |                         |
| Integration of species and<br>Habitat conditions.                      | Hab. quality high,<br>connectivity of<br>subpopulations   | Low pop &<br>connectivty                          | FR                       |   |          |         |                         |

\* F=functioning appropriately, FR=functioning at risk, FU=functioning at unacceptable risk.

### Matrix for Utility Rights-of-way

| Subpopulation Watershed: Jarbidge River Local Population Watershed: East Fork Jarbidge Habitat: <b>Noda</b> |   |                           |                          |   |          |         |                         |   |
|---|---|---------------------------|--------------------------|---|----------|---------|-------------------------|---|
| Diagnostics/ Pathways Indicators  | Population And Environmental Baseline               |                           |                          | Effects of the Action(s) on RELEVANT Indicators |          |         |                         |   |
|   | Standard Criteria                                   | Present Condition         | Functionality (F/FR/FU)* | Restore   | Maintain | Degrade | Hinder attainment (Y/N) | T |
| <u>Subpopulation Characteristics</u><br>Population Size:  | >2000   | <1,500                    | FU                       |   |          |         |                         |   |
| Growth & Survival:  | Adlt/juv ratio >.1- <.1                             | Unknown                   | UK                       |   |          |         |                         |   |
| Life History Diversity/Isolation:   | Migratory Connected                                 | Migratory Not connect     | FR                       |   |          |         |                         |   |
| Persistence & genetic integrity:  | Strong pops >5                                      | 0                         | FU                       |   |          |         |                         |   |
| Water Quality / Temperature   | Rearing 12-15 C                                     | July-early Sept >20C      | FU                       |   |          |         |                         |   |
| Sediment  | Fines <20%  | > 20%                     | FR                       |   |          |         |                         |   |
| Chemical Contamin./ Nutrients   | Absent  | Absent                    | F                        |   | X        |         | N                       | S |
| Habitat Access Physical Barriers:   | Movements at all flows                              | No barriers               | F                        |   |          |         |                         |   |
| <u>Habitat Elements</u><br>Substrate Embeddedness:  | <20%  | > 31%                     | FU                       |   |          |         |                         |   |
| Large Woody Debris:   | ≥ natural conditions                                | 31 pieces/ mi             | FU                       |   |          |         |                         |   |
| Pool Frequency and quality:   | 60 pools/mi, good cover, or natural conditions      | 51                        | FR                       |   |          |         |                         |   |
| Large Pools:  | 60% > .5 m deep                                     | 45%                       | FU                       |   |          |         |                         |   |
| Off-channel Habitat:  | Many backwaters, side channels                      | Few backwaters            | FR                       |   |          |         |                         |   |
| Refugia:  | Popn. Strong, well established, connected           | popn low, connected       | FR                       |   |          |         |                         |   |
| <u>Channel Condit. &amp; Dynamics</u><br>Wetted width/Max Depth ratio:                                      | Nat. condition 9- 21                                | w/d = 27.8                | FU                       |   |          |         |                         |   |
| Streambank Condition:   | 85-95% stable                                       | 77.3%                     | FR                       |   |          |         |                         |   |
| Floodplain connectivity:  | Natural conditions                                  | Nat. condit.              | F                        |   |          |         |                         |   |
| <u>Flow/Hydrology</u><br>Change in Peak/Base Flows:   | = undisturbed watershed                             | Natural conditions        | F                        |   |          |         |                         |   |
| Drainage network increase (miles:)  | Minimal increase                                    | Nat. Condit.              | F                        |   |          |         |                         |   |
| Watershed Conditions (RHCA road density):   | Road density <1 mi/square mi. Few valley bottom rds | Rd density .56 mi/2mi     | F                        |   |          |         |                         |   |
| Disturbance history (Equivalent Clear-cut Area ECA%):   | <15% ECA for watershed                              | Burned <15% past 10 years | F                        |   |          |         |                         |   |
| Riparian Conservation Areas (LWD/Shade):  | Adequate shade & large woody debris                 | Some exposed channel      | FR                       |   |          |         |                         |   |
| Disturbance Regime (Hazard/risk rating):  | Nat processes are stable                            | Moderate resiliency       | FR                       |   |          |         |                         |   |
| Integration of species and Habitat conditions.  | Hab. quality high, connectivity of subpopulations   | Low pop & connect         | FR                       |   |          |         |                         |   |

\* F=functioning appropriately, FR=functioning at risk, FU=functioning at unacceptable risk.

**Matrix for Utility Rights-of-way**

| Subpopulation Watershed: Jarbidge River Local Population Watershed: West Fork Jarbidge Habitat: <b>Node</b> |   |                                    |                          |   |          |         |                         |   |
|---|---|------------------------------------|--------------------------|---|----------|---------|-------------------------|---|
| Diagnostics/ Pathways Indicators  | Population And Environmental Baseline               |                                    |                          | Effects of the Action(s) on RELEVANT Indicators |          |         |                         |   |
|   | Standard Criteria                                   | Present Condition                  | Functionality (F/FR/FU)* | Restore   | Maintain | Degrade | Hinder attainment (Y/N) | T |
| <u>Subpopulation Characteristics</u><br>Population Size:  | >2000   | <1,500                             | FU                       |   |          |         |                         |   |
| Growth & Survival:  | Adlt/juv ratio >.1- <.1                             | Unknown                            | UK                       |   |          |         |                         |   |
| Life History Diversity/Isolation:   | Migratory Connected                                 | Migratory No connect               | FR                       |   |          |         |                         |   |
| Persistence & genetic integrity:  | Strong pops >5                                      | 0                                  | FU                       |   |          |         |                         |   |
| Water Quality / Temperature   | Rearing 12-15 C                                     | July-early* Sept >20C              | FU                       |   |          |         |                         |   |
| Sediment  | Fines <20%  | No Data                            | UK                       |   |          |         |                         |   |
| Chemical Contamin./ Nutrients   | Absent  | No Data                            | UK                       |   | X        |         | N                       | S |
| Habitat Access Physical Barriers:   | Movements at all flows                              | No barriers                        | F                        |   |          |         |                         |   |
| <u>Habitat Elements</u><br>Substrate Embeddedness:  | <20%  | No Data                            | UK                       |   |          |         |                         |   |
| Large Woody Debris:   | ≥ natural conditions                                | No Data                            | UK                       |   |          |         |                         |   |
| Pool Frequency and quality:   | 60 pools/mi, good cover, or natural conditions      | No Data                            | UK                       |   |          |         |                         |   |
| Large Pools:  | 60% > .5 m deep                                     | No Data                            | UK                       |   |          |         |                         |   |
| Off-channel Habitat:  | Many backwaters, side channels                      | Few backwaters                     | FU                       |   |          |         |                         |   |
| Refugia:  | Popn. Strong, well established, connected           | popn low, connected                | FR                       |   |          |         |                         |   |
| <u>Channel Condit. &amp; Dynamics</u><br>Wetted width/Max Depth ratio:                                      | Nat. condition 9-21                                 | No Data                            | FU                       |   |          |         |                         |   |
| Streambank Condition:   | 85-95% stable                                       | 77.3%                              | FR                       |   |          |         |                         |   |
| Floodplain connectivity:  | Natural conditions                                  | Nat. condit.                       | F                        |   |          |         |                         |   |
| <u>Flow/Hydrology</u><br>Change in Peak/Base Flows:   | = undisturbed watershed                             | Natural conditions                 | F                        |   |          |         |                         |   |
| Drainage network increase (miles:)  | Minimal increase                                    | Nat. Condit.                       | F                        |   |          |         |                         |   |
| Watershed Conditions (RHCA road density):   | Road density <1 mi/square mi. Few valley bottom rds | Rd density 1.4 mi/2mi + valley rds | FR                       |   |          |         |                         |   |
| Disturbance history (Equivalent Clear-cut Area ECA%):   | <15% ECA for watershed                              | Burned <15% past 10 years          | F                        |   |          |         |                         |   |
| Riparian Conservation Areas (LWD/Shade):  | Adequate shade & large woody debris                 | Some exposed channel               | FR                       |   |          |         |                         |   |
| Disturbance Regime (Hazard/risk rating):  | Nat processes are stable                            | Low resiliency                     | FU                       |   |          |         |                         |   |
| Integration of species and Habitat conditions.  | Hab. quality high, connectivity of subpopulations   | Low pop & connect                  | FR                       |   |          |         |                         |   |

\* The analysis area is roughly from the F.S. boundary downstream to the Jarbidge Forks. Temperature taken near mouth of Rattlesnake Draw.

No matrix was prepared for road maintenance. BLM does not maintain any of the roads on Wilkins Island